

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/463,096	(04/12/2000	HANS TANDLER	GK-ZEI-3078	GK-ZEI-3078 5855		
26418	7590	09/25/2003			•		
REED SM	ITH, LLP		EXAMINER				
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR					N, LEE A		
NEW YORI	K, NY 10	022-7650		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2872	2872		
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/463,096	TANDLER ET AL.	V
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee Fineman	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2003 FAILS TO PL/ Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment white eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper report in places the application of the contraction of the caption of the capti	ply to a cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ace event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterminity and the state of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-15 and 17-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		Mull IARK A ROBINSOI	N
	N	RIMARY EXAMINE	





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues are at the least the removal of the claim limitation directed to without a monioring system for the diriving motors.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed system from the cited prior art.

Further, Applicant argues that the finality of the office action was inappropriate and was not necessitated by amendment. Applicant is reminded that this is the third action since the incorporation of claim 16 in modified form into claim 13. The final rejection mailed 9/24/02 was in response to the amendment filed 2/24/03 in which claim 16 had been previously cancelled. Therefore, no argument was present in this amendment directed to claim 16's allowable subject matter. Further, the claims were amended in a way which changed the scope from "without an ADDITIONAL monitoring system" to "without a monitoring system." The previous language permitted reading the claims as a system with a monitoring system. As such, the new rejection was necessitated by amendment and the finality is appropriate and is being maintained.

Applicant also challenges the assertation that use of direct drive motors like stepper motors are well known in the art. Applicant may refer to Sarfaty et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,741,171, as showing features that were stated in the final rejection as well known.